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Executive Summary

This report presents the D5.2 component RISE (Reveal almost Invisible StructurEs), the first software prototype for the detection of almost invisible structures from point cloud data and images. This deliverable D5.2 is part of WP5, “Recognition of Architecturally Meaningful Structures and Shapes”. The software prototype is a semantic enrichment tool for detecting hidden structures like in-wall electrical appliances. The information of the found structures is provided in a form so that it can be stored in a long-term archival system. The technical approach is to use point cloud and image data from a laser scan to detect the visible parts of an electrical appliance (e.g. power sockets, light switches, etc.) via computer vision algorithms and to use this information as base for a shape grammar capable of outputting an hypothesis for the invisible in-wall elements of the electrical appliance.

This document describes the overall approach and presents the first part of the implementation which focus on the detection of observable objects (e.g. power sockets, light switches, etc.) as a necessary step for the later detection of almost invisible or hidden structures. Also the integration plan into the overall DURAARK software prototype (see report D2.4) is described.
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1 Introduction

The creation of 3D scans of buildings today requires a high investment of time and money. It is therefore meaningful to make extensive use of archived scans from future long-term archival systems in order to extract information on buildings. The semantic enrichment tools developed in the DURAARK work packages 4 and 5 provide means to find architectural meaningful structures and elements in unstructured 3D point clouds.

Some important architectural elements, e.g. the visible parts of electric appliances, normally switches and sockets, are however hard to detect on a geometrical level within point clouds. The reason is that the geometric deviation of these objects from their surrounding architectural surfaces (usually the wall they are embedded in) is in the magnitude of the regular scattering of points from a laser scan, which is 2-5mm in the case of typical indoor scans.

The task 5.2 of the DURAARK project investigates hence means to find these almost invisible objects. This is important, as owners and facility managers of buildings today are lacking information about the exact position and amount of their electrical outlets and light switches. Current architectural building information, as electrical 2D plan drawings, describes their position often on a symbolic level only. The imprecision of this information is worsened through the often hectic situation on building sites. Precise information about electrical appliances is however necessary for better planning and cost estimation of maintenance tasks. In addition to obtaining a general overview of these entities of the building, this information may be used further for the planning of the placement of e.g. electrical equipment and functions with a certain need regarding power outlets within the building or even for the planning of refurbishment of the building. This might concern the planning of the room occupation, as well as the overall spatial layout.
The software prototype described in this report is responsible for "Revealing almost Invisible Structures" and is named RISE henceforth. RISE detects almost invisible or hidden objects in electrical appliances within 3D point clouds and provides semantic information about their type and location. This information can be used with other tools developed in the DURAARK project, allowing stakeholders (e.g. building owners) to understand and control whether their electrical system in the building is installed correctly in performing a difference analysis between the planned 3D Building Information Model (BIM) and semantically enriched point cloud scans taken on the building site during construction time.

This report provides an overview of the approach on how to detect almost invisible features from images and point cloud data and a description of the developed data workflow and processing pipeline in the standalone application RISE. The current version includes the specification of the systems components such as the vision pipeline, feature descriptor and machine learning classifier, as well as a user manual for the application in Section 2.2. Currently RISE is not yet integrated in the overall DURAARK Workbench (see D2.4). The integration will be part of the next WP2 deliverable in M30, the plan towards the integration is described in Section 2.3.
2 DURAARK RISE (Reveal Invisible StructurEs)

This section describes the approach taken by the software prototype RISE and includes a user manual for the tool. The section also includes the future plan to integrate the currently standalone executable RISE into the DURAARK Workbench, which is described in report D2.4.

2.1 Approach

The approach taken for this tool is based on the fact that archived data from 3D scans usually contains not only 3D point information, as contemporary 3D documentation hardware combines 3D laser scanners with cameras. The linkage of visual information from the images with 3D geometry represented in point clouds provides a new point of departure to derive architecturally meaningful information.

Report D7.1 shows that it is very common today to document spaces not only with a 3D scanner, but to use its built-in camera to capture images referenced in the 3D point clouds. The resulting images cover the whole scanned sphere and become an important part of the 3D documentation of buildings. Commercial products such as FARO Scene\(^1\) utilize the single images to create panoramic images (see Figure 1 for an example) that are mapped onto the 3D point clouds in a further step.

The resulting coloured point clouds are an industry standard today and allow for a better, more realistic and atmospheric perception of the documented spaces. A second application of the created panoramas is the use as lightweight access copies of 3D scans. Commercial products as FARO webshare\(^2\) couple a 3D point cloud stored on a server with a panoramic image. This is sent as a lightweight access copy to users instead of

\(^1\)http://www.faro.com/en-us/products/faro-software/scene/overview
\(^2\)http://www.faro.com/products/faro-software/scene-webshare-cloud/overview
Figure 1: Panoramic image created within the Postprocessing of 3D scan data

large-sized 3D point clouds. This way users are enabled to interact with point clouds in real-time, e.g. for measuring, marking and exploration. Typical uses are panning, zooming, rotating and moving to the next scanner position. However, these images contain additional information about the scanned objects, which is not or only hardly represented in the point clouds themselves. This concerns all colour and material information - visible, but geometrically not present - and information on objects that are typically just slightly elevated from the surrounding surface, such as wall-mounted signs and technical fittings. The latter include most visible electrical fittings, as sockets, light switches and (if visible) distribution- and cavity sockets. As the placement of these fittings in walls follows rules and standards one can as well infer on the position of the connecting cables, or even the overall electric system within a room. While the above mentioned objects are almost invisible on the geometrical level of 3D scans, they are usually well visible in images. This applies even to very low contrast objects on white walls, for instance if they cast shadows because of direct or ambient illumination. Those visual hints usually provide enough detail in an image for a computer vision algorithm to detect the contours of a nearly invisible object. The result of this
object detection step will be a set of images with mark-ups for the identified components (e.g. a light switch) along with a confidence for their real existence. The position of the technical appliances can be registered again in the 3D space of the laser scans. These mark-ups are then serving as input for synthesis of almost invisible structures using shape grammars. The grammar represents the rules, according to which power and water lines are installed; the mark-ups in the images are the terminal symbols. A 3D structure will be generated, which represents the known inputs (e.g. light switches, respectively terminal symbols) best. The deduction of this information from 3D scans opens completely new ways to access archived data.

2.2 User Manual

2.2.1 Prerequisites and Installation

The software prototype can be downloaded via the URL https://github.com/DURAARK/RISE/releases/download/v0.2/RISE-v0.2.zip. The downloaded file is a ZIP archive that has to be extracted to an arbitrary folder on the user’s harddisk. The software expects a Windows 7 or Windows 8 (up to 8.1) operating system (64bit). Example test and training data are shipped with the software prototype.

2.2.2 Usage

The executable is implemented as command line tool that can be launched via the command prompt, it accepts several parameters. Depending on these, two different modes are executed:

- Training mode: Before the computer vision algorithm is able to detect sockets and switches on an input image, the algorithm has to be configured and trained in advance. The essential data of the training result, as well as the pipeline configuration,
is stored in an XML file after training was performed successfully.

In this mode, the user has to provide a set of training patches embodied by a folder containing “.jpg” or “.png” image files. In order to code the class labels of the training images, their filename has to start with the corresponding class label followed by an underscore (for instance: `1_trainingimage001.jpg`). The class labels have to follow the convention: 0 = negative examples, 1 = sockets, 2 = switches.

- Testing mode: In this mode the program performs a detection of sockets and switches on a given set of images. Again, “.jpg” and “.png” files are supported. The pipeline and the classifier are configured according to a loaded XML data file that was created in training mode. The test images are supposed to be orthophotos of walls or wall-segments, having a fixed relation to their physical dimension of 1px per mm². For each of those images, a new XML file and an output image will be created that store and visualize the detection results, respectively.

The execution parameters are explained in detail below:

- `-1 [-2..-5]`: Pipeline configuration (required for training)

  This parameter specifies the pipeline configuration of the corresponding channel (1 to a maximum of 5) by a string of keywords. Each keyword adds a module to the corresponding channel. Since an algorithm is applied on the output data of a previous module, the order of the keywords is important. The different module keywords within one channel have to be delimited by a comma (for example: `-1 grad,pca` or `-2 canny,dist,brief`). The user has to number the channels without any missing number, i.e. when `-3` is specified, also `-1` and `-2` must exist.

  If neither a feature extractor nor a subspace module is assigned to a channel configuration, the classifier interprets the full image as feature vector.

  The framework takes the following keywords:
– **grad**: Gradient image preprocessing filter: calculated by superposition of Sobel filter responses

– **canny**: Binary edge map image preprocessing filter: by applying the Canny edge detector

– **dist**: Distance transform image preprocessing filter: Requires binary image, in this deliverable only makes sense in combination with “canny”

– **hog**: HOG feature descriptor

– **brief**: BRIEF feature descriptor

– **pca**: PCA data compression

• **-f**: Classifier (required for training)
  
  This parameter defines which algorithm is used for the classification task by analyzing the (concatenated) vector given from the specified feature channels. When this parameter is given, the executable is set to training mode. Otherwise, the previous parameters are neglected and testing is performed.
  
The following values are accepted in this deliverable:

  – **svm**: Support Vector Machine

  – **rf**: Random Forest

• **-c**: Configuration file (always required)

  This specifies the name of the file that contains the setup of the vision pipeline and the data to restore the trained classifier. In training mode this file will be created (or overwritten if already existing), whereas in testing mode it is used to initialize the vision pipeline. The name given in the command line will be automatically extended by “.xml” if this postfix not already present.
• -d: Training/Testing image folder (always required)
  Depending on the executed mode, this parameter defines the relative path to the folder containing the training- or the test-images (an example trainings and test image set is provided with the installation).

Example Meaningful Vision Pipeline Configurations

• Train the vision pipeline by using the HoG descriptor and SVM classifier with the training patches from a folder named “training-set”. Store the training results to “config.xml”:
  
  rise.exe -1 hog -f svm -d training-set -c config.xml

• Same as above with replacing the classifier by a Random Forest:
  
  rise.exe -1 hog -f rf -d training-set -c config.xml

• Train the detection algorithm with gradient images described by the BRIEF descriptor. Use a Random Forest as classifier:
  
  rise.exe -1 grad,brief -f rf -d training-set -c config.xml

• To combine the above methods and let the classifier choose the best features, set up multiple feature channels. As classifier, use a SVM:
  
  rise.exe -1 hog -2 grad,brief -f svm -d training-set -c config.xml

• Try to learn the Canny edge representation of the objects. In order to add more information per pixel to the image patches, subsequently perform a distance transform on the Canny edge result. As already mentioned, this configuration is supposed to perform relatively bad:
  
  rise.exe -1 canny,dist,brief -f rf -d training-set -c config.xml
To apply a previously learned vision pipeline on a set of test images stored in a directory named “test-set”, execute

```
rise.exe -d test-set -c config.xml
```

The result files will be stored within the `test-set` folder.

### 2.3 Integration into the DURAARK Workbench

The DURAARK Workbench is the central graphical user interface (GUI) in DURAARK for stakeholders to perform use cases in the field of long-term archival of BIM data. The functionality of the GUI is provided by components which are developed in the different work packages in form of software deliverables. The Workbench is combining those components and provides a coherent user interface for them. The RISE component described in this report is a tool that belongs to the *Geometric Enrichment* block developed in WP4 and WP5. Figure 2 shows the abstract architecture of the DURAARK Workbench, with the other geometric enrichment components highlighted in blue. The architecture was build to integrate different types of components into the Workbench, namely web-based services and desktop applications. RISE belongs to the desktop application type and will be integrated into the Workbench’s user interface as part of the ”Geometric Enrichment” workflow, together with the point cloud components developed in D4.1 and D5.1. The integration mechanism is provided by the underlying *DURAARK Framework*, Figure 3 shows its design overview. The integration mechanism is highlighted in the lower right of the figure, a detailed description of the mechanism can be found in the report D2.4, Section 3.1.1. A description of the ”Geometric Enrichment” workflow is given in D2.4, Section 1.

At this point in time the integration of the component into the Workbench is not finished yet. It will follow as part of the development towards the next deliverable in WP2 which is
Figure 2: Overall structure of the DURAARK approach, as described in D2.3. RISE (highlighted in blue) compliments the other components of the Geometric Enrichment block.

due in month 30 of the project. Section 6.1 gives an outlook on the upcoming integration tasks of this tool into the Workbench.
Figure 3: DURAARK Framework overview with the integration mechanism for desktop applications in the lower right.
3 Technical Implementation

3.1 Related Work

Current work mainly deals with detection of power lines from data where such structures can be directly observed, which is an important task for the safety of unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) [10], [14]. The detection of indoor power lines from measurements however has, to our knowledge, not been published in the scientific community. Our targeted approach will be combining object detection from computer vision with shape grammars which are mainly used in computer graphics.

3.1.1 Object detection

The vast field of object detection on images consists of many approaches, each designed to make use of advantageous properties of a specific object category. Since sockets and switches on the walls surface are mostly untextured and mainly defined by their silhouettes, methods based on local, discriminative saliency points are supposed to perform poor on this task.

In the recent past, detecting power sockets gained attention in the field of robotics in order to build self-charging robots [3, 11]. Here the recognition task is basically done by template matching, which is error-prone to varying perspectives and high intra-class variability, e.g. different designs and models of sockets. In robotics applications, the robot or camera is actively movable and thus able to investigate a wall segment from different perspectives. Furthermore, typically only one specific socket type has to be recognized and false negative detections (missed sockets) on a single image are not very critical in the application context. Hence, simple template matching yields sufficient results in the field of robotics.
When detecting objects with as few scans as possible, handling a wider range of view angles becomes necessary. For this, a much more robust approach is to learn invariant features by discriminative machine learning algorithms.

One of the trend-setting approaches on identifying objects by their global gradient appearance of the recent years is the “Histograms of oriented gradients for human detection” by Dalal and Triggs [6]. This very discriminative and at the same time generalizing representation of silhouettes compiles discrete gradient histograms binned to their direction over certain sub-image-patches (cells).

The sub regions consist of several overlapping areas of variable size, however, for brevity a common visualization shows the occurrence frequency of certain gradient directions by oriented lines on a fixed-size, non-overlapping cell grid. An example is shown in figure 4. As classifier for the human detection task Dalal and Triggs used a Support Vector Machine (SVM), which is described in more detail in Section 3.2.

Many novel object detection methods reuse the concept of the HoG descriptor combined with a SVM classifier as basis in order to yield higher sophisticated algorithms. One of them is the Deformable Part Model from Felzenszwalb et al. [7], which is currently one of the best performing methods.

This algorithm is capable of detecting objects with varying spatial configuration of their parts, for instance humans raising their hands. This is achieved using a SVM, simultaneously learning the appearance and the spatial configuration of the object parts by using the HoG descriptor and a localization probability map, respectively. In order to train such a SVM, an iterative method working with latent variables was developed. Thus, the classifier used in this approach is called “Latent SVM”.

Since sockets and switches have a static appearance, for this report the basic HoG-SVM approach is considered as proper approach for the recognition task.

Instead of learning one well engineered descriptor, another approach collects many sim-
Figure 4: Visualization of the Histogram of Gradients (HoG) descriptor applied on an image of a human in order to detect objects with a similar silhouette. Figure 4a shows the input image from which the descriptor was calculated. Figure 4b illustrates the Histogram of oriented Gradients descriptor, where the length of the small lines in each cell represent the strength of the gradient in their particular direction. Additionally, the brightness of the lines codes their importance for the detection task, which corresponds to the weights assigned by the trained SVM classifier. Both figures are taken from [6].

ple features of which the classifier selects the most proper ones yielding a strong feature representation. In [9] power sockets (also cars, people and bicycles) were detected by merging 7 different feature channels taken from a RGB camera and a 3D laser scanner (namely the red-, blue-, green-color channel, intensity and a hue distance value, spatial distance and remission were used). For all channels they applied Haar-like wavelets [13, 16] as feature descriptor. A subsequent AdaBoost classifier [8] then selects the best discriminating features out of all different channels for the learned category.
3.1.2 Shape grammars

Shape grammars have been developed by Stiny et al. [15], originally to capture a formal specification of the design of paintings. The concept is based on methods from formal languages; a grammar consists of set of rules, a word in this grammar is a specific instance of an object and all possible words of the grammar correspond to the whole design space. Shape grammars have recently gained popularity in the computer graphics community as a method for the automatic generation of variations of an object class (e.g. buildings in a city) [12].
3.2 Software Design

In this section we explain the design of the system architecture and give an explanation of the components.

The detection of objects using machine learning methods is a difficult task if the objects are visible under arbitrary transformations (e.g. the perspective transformation of a camera). Therefore, a suitable canonical representation is desirable because a classification will typically yield better result as an algorithm can be better tuned to discriminate between objects, and the amount of training data is significantly reduced as it has to be supplied in one canonical form only. Therefore, the system needs to convert the input data into the canonical representation, which is a two-dimensional orthographic image (or orthophoto) at a specific resolution (mm/pixel). This modularization decouples the computer vision part, i.e. training and classification, from the geometry processing part, i.e. orthophoto generation. This allows to implement, test, and improve these aspects separately. Furthermore, if geometric assumptions, e.g. all sockets are placed on planar walls, turn out to be too limiting, only the orthophoto generation module has to be improved. Similar, the vision pipeline is decoupled in a way that different combinations of algorithms for object classification can be evaluated.

3.2.1 Overall Architecture

An overview of all components of the system can be seen in Figure 5. The input to the system consists of the following measurement data:

- **point clouds** Those are acquired from range scans. At the moment we only consider the scan acquired from one scanner position. If it is necessary to perform multiple scans because of room geometry or size, the scans have to be aligned in a preprocessing step, and either the scans are fused before running the pipeline, or the detections will
Figure 5: Overall software architecture of the system. Green boxes correspond to the input data, red boxes correspond to prior knowledge, blue boxes correspond to intermediate results (the interface between modules). The final result is shown with a thicker border. Black boxes represent the software modules of the system.

be carried out on each scan separately, and resulting detections are fused afterwards.

**photos / panoramic images** Additional information stems from visual data taken either by a scanning device, or manually using a camera. The images have to be registered to the point cloud data, e.g. the camera position and orientation is known for each image.

**geometry / metadata** A coarse geometry, either supplied by automatic or manual reconstruction is needed for preprocessing. Additional important information is the knowledge of which parts of the geometry correspond to walls, and the connectivity information of rooms.

The system processes the data in the following manner:
1. The input data is partitioned into rectangular regions of interest, in which relevant objects could be detected. These regions correspond to wall segments. The Orthophoto generation component generates an orthophoto for each wall segment for each supplied input photo or panoramic image, as well as the transformation information from the 2D orthophoto coordinate system to the 3D coordinate system of the 3D model / point cloud.

2. The vision pipeline operates on rectangular images of predefined size, which roughly corresponds to the size of the objects of interest (e.g. sockets), which we call patches. The Patch extraction component will extract such patches from a given orthophoto. For now, this component will perform a sliding window approach and perform the search on the whole orthophoto. If necessary, this procedure can be speed up in the future by using interest point detectors to detect salient points in the orthophoto and reduce the search space to such points of interest.

3. The vision pipeline itself operates on patches and can be grouped into three stages: the preprocessing stage, the feature extraction stage, and the classification stage. In the first two stages the patch is transformed to a representation vector in a feature space. The classification stage, which was pre-trained with feature representations of categories of objects (e.g. sockets) decides if a patch classifies into one of the pre-trained categories.

4. After the classification the decision is propagated back to the patch extraction stage, which will transform positive detections back into the orthophoto position. Detections from patches are collected for a whole orthophoto.

5. The detections inside one orthophoto are then projected back to 3D, using the transformation of the corresponding wall segment. This yields small rectangular
regions in 3D that correspond to a detection of an object (e.g. sockets) which are registered to the point clouds / geometry.

6. The last module in this chain is the power line predictor component. This component will create possible power line configurations given the observed detections. The power lines will be synthesized from hypothesis created from the position of detections and prior knowledge, e.g. preferred regions in walls for power lines.

### 3.2.2 Components

In this report only the vision pipeline component is described in detail. In future releases of the document more components (e.g. the power line predictor) will be added.

**Patch extraction and processing**  The computer vision part expects an orthophoto as input, whose dimension corresponds to the physical dimension of the examined wall/wall-segment (1px/1mm²). This orthophoto is scanned by a sliding window of fixed size, whereon the detection of switches and sockets is performed by the vision pipeline. Positive detection results are then visualized on the input image and their 2D coordinates (measured relative to the input image) are stored to an XML file.

**Vision Pipeline**  Traditional image classification tasks in computer vision can be divided into three submodules, defined by their incoming and outgoing data types: Image preprocessing, feature extraction and classification.

Since there exist a huge number of various approaches for all three processing steps, finding the best suited tool-chain of algorithms for this specific object detection task can be quite time-consuming.

Hence, for this software prototype a modular vision pipeline equipped with some standard approaches was developed that allows quick evaluation of different configurations.
A more detailed description of the three submodules is given below:

- **Image preprocessing**: This module operates on image level only. From a given input image, an output image is calculated aiming at enhancing the visual information in respect to the overall recognition objective. The searched objects in the given images have low contrast and are mainly defined by edges defined by their shape. Thus, reasonable image preprocessing methods are supposed to increase the ratio of the gradient-induced information.

As examples we implemented a gradient image calculation via Sobel filters, the more intrusive Canny edge-detection algorithm and the distance transform for subsequent processing of binary images.

An example of the implemented preprocessing methods is shown in figure 6.

- **Gradient Image**: Applied on a given input image, this module calculates the responses of a Sobel filter in both x- and y-direction. The final gradient image is a superposition of the absolute values of the filter responses.

- **Canny edge detector**: This algorithm results in a binary edge map according to the approach of John Canny in [5]. Unfortunately, its outcome is very sensitive to its parameters. This fact in combination with the high variety of the gradient strengths in our images can make this preprocessing method less suitable for our purpose. Nevertheless, it was implemented in this software prototype for experimental purposes.

- **Distance transform image**: In order to prepare a binary edge map for further processing it is often useful to calculate a distance transform image. For each pixel this representation calculates the distance to the nearest non-zero pixel of the input image.
Figure 6: The implemented preprocessing methods applied on a sample image. The gradient image and the Canny edge filtered image are calculated from the original picture. For the distance transform representation the canny image was used as input. For visibility reasons, the value range of the latter image was stretched in the visualization.

• Feature descriptor: The algorithms of this building block attempt to represent the essential visual content of an image as data vector, where similar content should be assigned to similar data points in the feature space. This yields a generalization of the visual appearance and inherently performs a reduction of the dimensionality for the classification problem. Again, various algorithms exist, each focusing on different objectives.

The objects subject to detection are characterized by their static, unobtrusive nature and do not possess any highly discriminating key-features. Thus, we focus on
feature descriptors aiming to describe the overall appearance of an (preprocessed) input image patch.

For this software prototype the Histogram-of-Oriented-Gradients (HOG) descriptor and the BRIEF descriptor are implemented.

- **Histogram of oriented Gradients (HOG)** [6]: This feature representation was successfully applied in various fields of computer vision. It codes the spatial distribution of gradients in sub-patches (cells) of an image by calculating histograms of gradients discretely binned to their direction. For an example refer to Section 3.1.

- **BRIEF** [4]: This descriptor evaluates many randomly chosen relations of intensity values all over the image and thus represents the image content very efficiently. A single relation is defined by a simple comparison of pixel values yielding a binary result (e.g. $I(x_1) > I(x_2)$ or similar). The final feature vector is built by concatenating the results of all selected binary tests.

- **Machine learning classifier**: The last module of the vision pipeline assigns semantic class labels to a given sample represented as data point. Before such algorithms can predict the class a given data point belongs to, they need to be trained in advance with example descriptor vectors sufficiently representing all different classes. This collection of samples is called the **training set**.

Basically, machine learning approaches can be split up into two groups: supervised and unsupervised methods.

Supervised methods aim to finding the optimal discriminating information for different classes by analyzing the training set by taking known class labels into account. Unsupervised methods attempt to form meaningful clusters just from the distribution of all training samples without considering any class information.
The first group of classifiers usually performs better, for the second group creating a training set is much easier.

Due to the high variety of non-interesting objects within a room we cannot expect an unsupervised machine learning algorithm to form three clusters that match sockets, switches and all others just from unlabelled training images. Hence we focus on supervised machine learning algorithms, for which a sufficient amount of annotated training patches is necessary.

Because of their successful application in computer vision, the Support Vector Machine (SVM) and the Random Forest classifier are chosen for this software prototype.

- **Support Vector Machine [1]**: SVMs try to calculate the optimal decision boundary between samples of different classes. Figure 7 illustrates the objective of a linear SVM classifier in a two-dimensional feature space. At the training stage the separating line is determined based on where the margin to the training samples of the different classes is maximized and thus considered optimal. As the found solution only depends on the data points closest to this linear separator, a SVM is completely described by these “support vectors”. The classification on a certain test data point is performed by determining on which side of the line the point is located.
Figure 7: Methodology of an SVM learning to discriminate different classes by providing training examples.

Generally, in a feature space of the dimensionality \( D \), this separating border is defined in \( \mathbb{R}^{D-1} \). Therefore, in order to linear separate data of higher dimensionality, the decision boundary is given by a hyperplane.

If no separating hyperplane can be found in the original feature space, the data points can be projected to higher dimensions by applying kernel functions. Commonly used kernel functions are polynomials or radial basis functions.
Figure 8: Example configuration of a binary decision tree. At each node of the tree a randomly chosen threshold and feature dimension separates the feature space into two parts. The tree structure is build up by recursively performing such binary decisions continuously dividing the feature space into smaller sections. A test sample is classified according to the distribution of the training samples in the leaf node that is reached after carrying out the tests down the tree. In this scheme, a test sample is past to the left child node if its value of the chosen feature dimension is lower than the corresponding threshold and to the right child if its value is above the threshold, respectively.
- **Random Forest** [2]: Another supervised classifier concept that recently gained much attention in the computer vision community aims at training an ensemble of random decision trees, known as Random Forest. A single tree is made of simple binary “decisions nodes” (e.g. thresholding applied on one dimension of the feature vector) arranged in a tree structure where in each leaf node the class distribution of the training samples is estimated by compiling a histogram during the training stage. An example of a trained binary decision tree with randomly chosen tests is illustrated in figure 8.

The key to performance of the random forest classifier lies in the combination of many such simple classifiers, yielding a strong classifier. Therefore the single trees have to be built from various binary decisions which are as independent as possible. This is achieved by the random selection of features for each splitting node, which induces a good generalization of the overall classifier.

In the past years many different versions and modifications of random forests came up in order to improve classification results and/or computation time.

**Vision Pipeline Extensions**  The vision pipeline concept described in Section 3.2.2 and illustrated in Figure 5 corresponds to a standard method of how this problem is addressed. In the following Subsection we introduce some additional extensions to enhance the capabilities of the framework, which have been omitted in the prior description of the pipeline for better comprehensibility.
The extensions are:

- A new module category of “subspace methods”, which performs data compression. It can optionally replace a feature extractor module or compress a resulting feature data vector. This algorithm aims at reducing the data dimensionality without losing too much information in order to simplify the classification problem for the classifier.

- To enable the classifier to choose the best discriminating features produced by various methods, the pipeline can be configured to evaluate multiple feature channels in parallel. The classifiers input data is formed by concatenating the different result vectors of each channel.

These extensions are optional and thus excluded from Figure 5 due to simplification. Figure 9 shows the vision pipeline part with optional extensions.

**Details on subspace methods** Images and vectors, that are exchanged between all the algorithms described above, can be interpreted as data points in a high-dimensional space. Therefore, classical data compression methods can be applied in order to reduce the data dimensionality with respect to preserving as many information as possible. In terms of a computer vision pipeline, these algorithms can be applied on both images and vectors, and therefore they cannot be assigned to one of the three strictly ordered groups from above.

Hence, such data compression methods from the additional group of subspace methods can be optionally placed either as feature extractor module, or as interlink between an original feature extractor and the classifier.

In this software prototype, the *Principal Component Analysis* (PCA) was implemented as data compression method to examine if the classifiers detection rate for power sockets
Figure 9: The extended vision pipeline. The optional paths and modules are shown as dashed lines. Since a subspace method can be applied on both images and feature vectors, it can replace the feature extractor or used subsequently after a feature extraction algorithm. Whereas this illustration contains a second feature channel, our software prototype can be configured from 1 up to 5 different channels.

and light switches can be increased by reducing the data dimensionality significantly. In an arbitrary data space, the principal component analysis determines a set of orthogonal vectors in whose directions a given set of data points exhibit the highest variances. Hence, these vectors represent the principal components of the given data.

Figure 10 shows an example of a set of two-dimensional data points and their principal components.

Mathematically, the directions of the highest variances are determined by the eigenvectors corresponding to the dominant eigenvalues of the data’s covariance matrix. The reduction of the dimensionality of a data point is achieved by projecting it into a new coordinate
system spanned by the most dominant orthogonal basis vectors, which are exactly those eigenvectors or the principal components.

Thus, a compressed data point embodies the coefficients of a linear combination of those basis vectors that optimal represents the original data point. The whole data compression procedure is also known as Karhunen-Loéve transform.

When applying the PCA directly on images (i.e. all image pixels aligned to one high dimensional vector), the observed principal components also represent images, which are also called “Eigen-images” due to the mathematical background of the calculation.

Figure 11 shows the first 6 Eigen-Images of the positive training examples of our current training set. Due to the high variability of the background class, in the implementation of this software prototype the principle components are calculated from the positive training examples only.

Although they are orthogonal basis vectors, for a human eye they look very similar because all positive training images show similar objects.
In combination with the sliding window approach, the compressed data can also be interpreted as filter response or template matching scores when applying the Eigen-images as filter kernels or templates. For this reason, projecting a data point which was also used to calculate the projection won’t cause a significant response in just one dimension. Thus, over-fitting is no concern when training a SVM with the PCA-projected samples that were also used to “train” the PCA itself.

Figure 11: The first six most dominant Eigen-images of the positive training set. In all of them, the basic structure of a socket can be observed. When compressing an input image, the coefficients are determined to optimally reconstruct it by a linear combination of such images. In the current implementation, the query data is projected into an Eigen-space consisting of a fixed number of 70 dimensions.

1st dominant Eigen-img.  2nd dominant Eigen-img.  3rd dominant Eigen-img.

4th dominant Eigen-img.  5th dominant Eigen-img.  6th dominant Eigen-img.
**Power line Prediction**  In the *power line predictor* component, the position of detections with prior knowledge modeled by power line placement rules will be combined. As an intermediate result, a graph that represents all possible power line placements from given detections will be generated. From this representation, a final power line configuration will be synthesized per wall segment.

### 3.3 Test Results

The detection performance of various vision pipeline configurations were evaluated on 5 different test images, which are shown in Figure 12.

For training, a self-annotated database of 41 power sockets and 13 light switches was used. From each annotation, 10 training patches were generated by applying a random jitter, yielding a total number of 540 positive training samples. In order to create negative training data, 726 image patches were randomly extracted from unmarked regions of the annotated set.

Table 1 lists the evaluation results of each configuration on each test image in terms of true positive, false negative and false positive detections. Comparing the classifiers, the Random Forest tends to produce more false positives and misclassifications, especially when working on lower dimensional (PCA-compressed) data. Nevertheless, the influence of the PCA on the classification rate depends mostly on the remaining pipeline configuration. With the current training data set, the HoG feature descriptor achieves the most promising results, whereas other methods perform significantly inferior. Since the HoG descriptor is more tolerant to badly aligned training patches, an improved training set will possibly increase the performance of the other feature descriptors (including PCA) to an adequate level. In contrast to the Support Vector Machine, the Random Forest is supposed to yield worse performance from an insufficient amount of training data. Thus,
Figure 12: The 5 test images that were used for evaluating different pipeline configurations. Especially on image 4 and 5 many false positives were detected, due to the cluttered scene and insufficient training data.
its observed lower classification rate may also be compensated by providing more training samples.

Whereas most 2-channel configurations seem to prefer the best features as expected, the surprisingly low response of the SVM applied on the concatenation of the HoG and BRIEF descriptor is probably also caused by the relative small variety in the training data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>True Pos. (correct)</th>
<th>False Neg. (missed)</th>
<th>False Pos. (hallucinated)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Channel 1 Channel 2</td>
<td>I₁ I₂ I₃ I₄ I₅</td>
<td>I₁ I₂ I₃ I₄ I₅</td>
<td>I₁ I₂ I₃ I₄ I₅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ground truth / desired)</td>
<td>1 2 6 4 2</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HoG - RF</td>
<td>1 2 6 3 2</td>
<td>0 0 0 1 0</td>
<td>0 0 2 16 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HoG - SVM</td>
<td>1 2 6 3 2</td>
<td>0 0 0 1 0</td>
<td>0 0 1 4 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad,Brief - RF</td>
<td>1 1 4 1 1</td>
<td>0 1 2 3 1</td>
<td>0 0 5 10 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad,Brief - SVM</td>
<td>1 1 3 1 1</td>
<td>0 1 3 3 1</td>
<td>0 0 0 9 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HoG,PCA - RF</td>
<td>1 0 4 2 1</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 5 45 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HoG,PCA - SVM</td>
<td>1 2 6 2 2</td>
<td>0 0 0 2 0</td>
<td>0 0 1 20 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad,PCA - RF</td>
<td>0 1 4 2 1</td>
<td>0 1 2 1 1</td>
<td>0 0 24 71 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad,PCA - SVM</td>
<td>1 2 6 2 2</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 18 87 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HoG - Grad,Brief RF</td>
<td>1 2 6 1 1</td>
<td>0 0 0 3 0</td>
<td>0 0 2 21 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HoG - Grad,Brief SVM</td>
<td>0 0 3 0 1</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 1</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HoG - Grad,PCA RF</td>
<td>1 1 6 3 2</td>
<td>0 0 0 1 0</td>
<td>0 0 1 26 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HoG - Grad,PCA SVM</td>
<td>1 2 6 2 2</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 2 47 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HoG,PCA - Grad,PCA RF</td>
<td>1 2 4 2 1</td>
<td>0 0 0 1 0</td>
<td>0 0 3 21 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HoG,PCA - Grad,PCA SVM</td>
<td>1 2 6 3 2</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0 42 14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: This table shows evaluation results of different vision pipeline configurations for test images $I₁$ to $I₅$, which contain 1,2,6,4 and 2 objects, respectively. True positives denote correct detections, false negatives correspond to missed objects and false positives count hallucinations. If an object was correctly detected but misclassified (for instance a switch that was recognized as socket), its neither counted as true positive nor false negative.

In order to estimate the computational effort of the implemented algorithms, the total execution time of training and testing was measured for each various pipeline configuration, and listed in Table 2. It can be observed that the Support Vector Machine takes
significantly longer than the Random Forest, especially when dealing with high feature
dimensions. When processing compressed data, these methods achieve similar execution
times.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>Execution time [min]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Channel 1</td>
<td>Channel 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HoG</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HoG</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad,Brief</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad,Brief</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HoG,PCA</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HoG,PCA</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad,PCA</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad,PCA</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HoG</td>
<td>Grad,Brief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HoG</td>
<td>Grad,Brief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HoG</td>
<td>Grad,PCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HoG</td>
<td>Grad,PCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HoG,PCA</td>
<td>Grad,PCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HoG,PCA</td>
<td>Grad,PCA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: The total execution time consists of the time needed for training and classification using a specific pipeline configuration. The time is specified in minutes.

Figure 13 shows some result images of tests listed in table 1, pointing out the most difficult test cases. In the first image (Figure 13a) all desired objects were classified correctly, but also a false positive detection (hallucination) was made, due to cyclic object in the background having a similar visual appearance as the learned data. Figure 13b shows an example of a detected but a wrongly classified switch. Some false positive detections can be observed on the poster to the right, caused again by visual structures similar
to a trained category. In the example shown in Figure 13c, the classifier was heavily responding to the equally sized squares of the registration targets and other image patches containing a square shaped contour.
(a) Ch.1: HoG+PCA, Classifier: SVM, Image 3

(b) Ch.1: HoG, Ch.2: Gradient+BRIEF, Classifier: RF, Image 5

(c) Ch.1: HoG+PCA, Ch.2: Gradient+PCA, Classifier: RF, Image 4

Figure 13: Example results pointing out misclassification causes. Detected sockets are marked by a green bounding box, whereas detected switches are colored red.
4 Decisions & Risks

4.1 Technical decisions and impacts

Pipeline-based Architecture

The appearance properties of the detected elements require to combine several vision methodologies to be able to detect nearly invisible features in architectural data. The pipeline architecture has been chosen to be able to combine such methodologies, and to be adaptable for possible future requirements. No conceptual change of the software is necessary for that. New algorithms can easily be integrated as additional preprocessing methods or feature representations in the pipeline.

Clear separation of data acquisition and processing

Another technical decision was that the input data to this system is required to be in a non-vendor specific format, in order to be independent from the actual hardware that was used to acquire the data. For this software prototype, images in .jpg format are accepted as input for training and classification.

Software library "OpenCV" as for computer vision pipeline

OpenCV (http://opencv.org) is a software library free for academic and commercial use that provides tools and utilities in the area of computer vision (CV). The feature set relevant for D5.2 includes tools for object detection, machine learning and visualization. The library is a corner stone of the described software library and provides a base set of standard utilities which serves as foundation of the software prototype. OpenCV is designed for efficiency and has a large community using the library and contributing to it, which makes it a future-proof asset for the software prototype to build upon.
4.2 Risk assessment

This section lists the discussed technical risks, consequence and treatment action:

**Risk Description** The software prototype supports the detection of sockets and light-switches, but the stakeholder needs the detection of feature X.

**Risk Assessment**

Impact Medium

Probability Medium

Description The software prototype’s current implementation is built for detecting sockets and light-switches. Depending on the architecture in question other features may be relevant for detecting the nearly invisible structures in the building.

**Contingency Solution** The design of the software deliverable provides the possibility to execute a picture-based training step before the actual detection of features in the building is taking place. The training step allows the stakeholder to provide pictures of the needed feature (e.g. self-taken or from an online image library) which are used to train the system. After the training phase the algorithms support the detection of those features. Depending on the characteristics of the feature the provided algorithms may not be suited for the detection of the feature, or better suited algorithms exist. In this case the pipeline-based architecture described in 3.2.1 and in 4.1 allows for the integration of those algorithms to fit the needs of the stakeholder.
Risk Description  No laser scanner is available to acquire the necessary input data.

Risk Assessment

Impact  Low

Probability  Low

Description  A stakeholder does not have the (financial) possibility to buy or rent a lasercaner for generating the input data necessary for the usage of the software prototype.

Contingency Solution  The software prototype has a clear data input interface that defines which data has to be provided in which format. The acquisition method can be chosen to the likings and possibilities of the stakeholder, as long as the data format is pre-processed into the demanded input data format.
5 Licenses

The following table gives an overview of the software licences generated and used for the implementation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IPR Type</th>
<th>IP used or generated</th>
<th>Software name</th>
<th>License</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>software</td>
<td>generated</td>
<td>Hidden structures tool</td>
<td>BSD</td>
<td>D5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>software</td>
<td>used</td>
<td>OpenCV</td>
<td>BSD</td>
<td><a href="http://opencv.org">http://opencv.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>software</td>
<td>used</td>
<td>tclap</td>
<td>MIT</td>
<td><a href="http://tclap.sourceforge.net">http://tclap.sourceforge.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>software</td>
<td>used</td>
<td>tinyxml</td>
<td>zlib</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/leethomason/tinyxml2">https://github.com/leethomason/tinyxml2</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6 Conclusions & Impact

This report presents the first version of the software prototype D5.2 in form of the RISE standalone application.

6.1 RISE

The current prototype provides a sound foundation for detecting the visible parts of an electric appliance which will serve as the input data for the future prototypes which will include the detection of the almost invisible or hidden parts of the appliance, e.g. power lines inside a wall. Especially when using the HoG feature descriptor, the first evaluations show satisfying results to build on. We further observed that some configurations produce undesired false positive and negative detections, as can be seen in Figure 13 and Table 1, which is mostly a matter of the currently insufficient amount of training samples, and shows that the different methods yield different results for the task at hand. Currently, we work on the creation of a bigger training set in order to discriminate more different types of sockets and improve the vision pipeline overall.

At the moment, the system operates on a single scan. Multiple scans will however not change the pipeline drastically, but will yield more orthophotos (each from one scan), and for each the detections of the computer vision pipeline. This might yield overlapping detection results, which will have to be combined in the result mapping module.

Further limitations of the detection performance regarding occlusions, image quality, camera distance and angle etc. are not known in the current development state.

In the next version of the prototype the computer vision part will be equipped by more sophisticated computer vision methods/modules in order to improve the detection results. Particularly, the approaches of [9] will be considered by implementing color channel image filters (red, green, blue), Haar-like Wavelets [13, 16] and AdaBoost [8] as new vision
modules.

Also, better fitted parameters of the feature descriptors and classifiers, and a larger, more representative training-set are supposed to yield better classification rates. Since especially the PCA and the BRIEF descriptor are very sensitive to the registration of the training images, this becomes an important prerequisite for a better training-set. If the performance may still be insufficient, due to the modular concept of the detection framework also 3D information from the point cloud can be included easily. However, taking 3D information into account will most likely induce new challenges in training sample generation in order to cover different designs of switches and sockets.

To do a quantitative evaluation of various vision pipeline configurations larger test sets with annotated ground truth will be added. For this, the orthophoto generation module will need to be enabled to work on data directly provided by a laser scanner.

Additionally, to speed up the visual recognition, the code will be better parallelized in order to take advantage of multi-core systems.

For the power line predictor component, the rules encoding the prior knowledge will be formulated, from this the method to synthesize the power line placement will be developed. The grammar formally describes prior knowledge of the placement of power lines, possibly using a growing metaphor: starting from a power line entry point of a room, the power lines grow towards the measurements under specific constraints, which are encoded into the growing rules.
6.2 Workbench Integration

With the next software prototype deliverable of WP2 in month 30 the tool described in this report will be fully integrated into the geometric enrichment workflows of the Workbench. Integration will be done in two areas. The first area is the user experience when working with the tool, which is done via a flexible - but non-graphical - interface at the moment. The next Workbench prototype deliverable will provide a graphical user interface for the tool with an abstraction of the underlying functionality to make it reasonably easy for a stakeholder to use the tool.

The second integration activity is to incorporate the information of found elements in a point cloud into the package that is persisted in the long-term archival system. This activity will be done in close cooperation with other partners.
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